

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,397	02/09/2004	Steven George Hansen	081468-0307720	6619
909	7590 08/10/2005		EXAM	INER
PILLSBUR	Y WINTHROP SHA	MATHEWS, ALAN A		
	P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			PAPER NUMBER
WOLLAN, VA 22102			2851	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/773,397	HANSEN, STEVEN GEORGE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alan A. Mathews	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commulation. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. I days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON rill, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on .					
· _	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26,28,29,53 and 55-57</u> is/are 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>27,30-52,54,58 and 59</u> is/are 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration. are rejected. e objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 May 2005</u> i	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have been received. locuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Al 🗖 Intonious	Summan (PTO 413)				
 Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Discourse of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/04; 5/10/04; 8/27/	PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/773,397 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 13 objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 13, there is no proper antecedent basis for "the resist model", since claim 12, from which claim 13 depends, only recites "a simulation model comprises choosing a resist process". Applicant should more clearly correlate "the resist model" in claim 13 with what is recited in claim 12. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,125. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,125 discloses all the steps of claims 1-14 of the instant application. It is noted that choosing a resist model as recited in claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,125 is choosing a simulation model as recited in claim 1 of the instant application.
- 3. Claims 1, 13-26, 28, 29, 53, and 55 57 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12, 14, 15, 22, 23, and 24 of copending Application No. 10/716,439. Although the conflicting

Application/Control Number: 10/773,397

Art Unit: 2851

claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the steps and apparatus and machine readable medium in claims 1, 13-26, 28, 29, 53, 55, and 56 of the instant

application are disclosed in 1-12, 14, 15, 22, 23, and 24 of copending Application No.

10/716,439. For example, the steps of claim 1 of the instant application are disclosed in claim 1

of copending Application No. 10/716,439. And steps in claims 15-26 of the instant application

are disclose claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/716,439. And claims 28, 29, 53, 55,

and 56 of the instant application are disclosed in claims 14, 15, 22, 23, and 24, respectively, of

copending Application No. 10/716,439. And claim 57 of the instant application corresponds to

claim 24 of copending Application No. 10/716,439.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-59 would be allowed subject to filing a proper terminal disclaimer and correcting the objection to claim 13. Claims 27, 30-52, 54, 58, and 59 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,397 Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents cited in the PTO-1449s are cited for the same reasons they were cited in Applicant's IDS's.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan A. Mathews whose telephone number is (571) 272-2123. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alan A. Mathews Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

Olan a. Mothers